

Proposal Title :	Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 - Amd 4 - Rezoning and Minimum Lot Size Change at 53A The Avenue and 134-162 Ross Street and the adjoining road reserve		
Proposal Summary :	The Planning Proposal seeks to rezone Lot 10 and part of Lot 9, DP 836850, and the adjoining road reserve, 53A The Avenue and 134-162 Ross Street, Armidale, from E4 Environmental Living to R1 General Residential. The proposal also seeks to amend the minimum lot sizes across the site to cater for the change in land zoning.		
PP Number :	PP_2015_ARMID_001_00	Dop File No :	15/05759
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	30-Mar-2015	LGA covered :	Armidale Dumaresq
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Armidale Dumaresq Council
State Electorate :	Section of the Act		55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : 53A	The Avenue and 134-162 Ross S	treet	
Suburb :	City :	Armidale	Postcode : 2350
Land Parcel : Lots	s 9 and 10 DP 836850 and the adj	oining road reserve	
DoP Planning Offic	er Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Craig Diss		
Contact Number :	0267019685		
Contact Email :	craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov.au	I	
RPA Contact Detail	s		
Contact Name :	Kathy Martin		
Contact Number :	0267703632		
Contact Email :	kmartin@armidale.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Manag	er Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A

MDP Number :		Date of Release :			
Area of Release (Ha) :	3.18	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	35		
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0		
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes				
If No, comment :	The Department of Planning and Environment's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge. The Northern Region has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental Officers and lobbyists concerning the proposal.				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο				
If Yes, comment :					
Supporting notes					
Internal Supporting Notes :					
External Supporting Notes :					
equacy Assessmen Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)				
Is a statement of the obj					
Comment :		actives adequately describes the in and minimum lot size changes to A	tention of the Planning Proposal to Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012.		
Explanation of provi	sions provided - s55	(2)(b)			
Is an explanation of prov	visions provided? Yes				
Comment :	The explanation of provisions adequately addresses the intended changes to Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012				
lustification - s55 (2	)(c)				
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the D	irector General? Yes			
b) S.117 directions ident	tified by RPA :	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Product	ion and Extractive Industries		
* May need the Director General's agreement		1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zo 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas	ones		
		3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufa 3.3 Home Occupations	ctured Home Estates		

- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

		Rezoning and Minimum Lot Size Change at 53A I the adjoining road reserve
		4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required	J? Yes
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs)	Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have t	the RPA identified?	<ul> <li>SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks</li> <li>SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land)</li> <li>SEPP No 36—Manufactured Home Estates</li> <li>SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection</li> <li>SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land</li> <li>SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage</li> <li>SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development</li> <li>SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004</li> <li>SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008</li> <li>SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004</li> <li>SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries)</li> <li>2007</li> <li>SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008</li> <li>SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment)</li> <li>2007</li> </ul>
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The New England Dumaresq LGA.	North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Armidale
Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) be	eing adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	-	posal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs and the th West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan.
		ies with Council's local growth management strategy and section 117 vironmental Protection Zones and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection low.
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Y	es	
Comment :	While the amended LEP map sheets have not been provided at this stage, the Planning Proposal includes maps identifying the proposed zoning and minimum lot size changes. The maps provided are considered sufficient for the Planning Proposal to proceed and for public exhibition purposes.	
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consult	ation been proposed?	
Comment :		tified a 28 day exhibition period for the proposal. The RPA has also nsultation with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage and the ervice.
	growth manageme	rill rezone land not identified in Council's Secretary approved local ent strategy for residential purposes, it is considered appropriate that on period be undertaken to allow the community an adequate iew the proposal.

#### Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

P

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	<ul> <li>The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by: <ol> <li>Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;</li> <li>Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes;</li> <li>Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;</li> <li>Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and</li> <li>Providing a project time line.</li> </ol> </li> <li>It is understood that Council has not sought an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations in this instance as the proposal is not in accordance with a Secretary approved local strategy. Due to the small area of land to be rezoned (3.18ha), and as it directly adjoins an existing residential area, this proposal is considered to be a local matter with no issues of State or regional significance. It is recommended that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations to exercise its plan making delegations of State or regional significance. It is recommended that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council in regard to this matter.</li> </ul>
	additional delegated tasks.
Proposal Assessment Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 was notified on 30 November 2012.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	The proposal is needed to make the necessary zoning and minimum lot size changes to Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012.

The proposal is not the result of any current strategic study or report. While Council has identified that it has sufficient zoned land to cater for its projected growth to 2021, it believes the proposal will help provide improved housing choice while not undermining the broader residential growth strategy for Armidale. Council has estimated that the rezoning will facilitate an additional 35 residential lots.

Consistency with strategic planning	The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs.
framework :	While no Regional Strategies apply to the Armidale Dumaresq LGA, the New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan does apply. The Planning Proposal is consistent with the provisions of the Strategic Regional Land Use Plan (SRLUP), and the settlement planning principles contained in SRLUP.
	The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with Council's local strategy (New England Development Strategy) that was approved by the Director General in March 2010 as the rezoning is not located within an identified urban release area. It is noted however that the strategy identifies that the existing identified urban release areas were designed to cater
	for projected growth only until 2021, that some of the zoned land may not come forward to the market due to the reluctance of landowners to subdivide the land, and that further suitable areas for urban expansion will need to identified for the period beyond 2021. In this regard, the inconsistency of the proposal with the approved local strategy is
	considered to be of minor significance due to: - the small area of land to be rezoned (3.18ha);
	<ul> <li>the relatively small lot yield (35 residential lots);</li> <li>the increase in housing choice that will be provided due to the relative scarcity of vacant</li> </ul>
	residential land in this area of Armidale; - its ability to be efficiently serviced by appropriate infrastructure; and
	- its location directly adjoining existing residential zoned and developed land.
	The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable section 117 Directions, except in relation to 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection as discussed below.
	2.1 Environmental Protection Zones The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this Direction as it seeks to reduce the environmental protection standards applying to the land by rezoning part of the site from
	E4 Environmental Living to R1 General Residential and reducing the minimum lot size for the area being rezoned from 1ha to 500m2. The proposal also seeks to reduce the minimum lot size for the existing part of the site zoned E3 Environmental Management from 4ha to 3ha to help facilitate the future subdivision of the site being rezoned (the E3 Zone area of the site is 3.13ha and under clause 4.1D of AD LEP 2012 would need to be consolidated with the 1.69ha E4 residue when the residential subdivision proceeds if it is unable to satisfy the minimum lot size requirements in its own right). The inconsistency
	with the Direction is considered to be of minor significance as the E3 and E4 Zonings in this instance are a translation of former zonings under AD LEP 2008 and relate to scenic protection rather than any known environmental significance of the site (which is predominantly cleared land).
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal applies to land that is in close
	proximity to bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction cannot be resolved.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The Planning Proposal identifies no significant adverse environmental, social or economic impact resulting from this matter.
	The main issue identified in the Planning Proposal relates to the potential scenic impact of the proposal. The current E3 and E4 Zones are a reflection of Council's previous strategic planning work which identified 'prime scenic' (E3) and 'support scenic' (E4) areas around Armidale. In this regard, no significant scenic impact from the development is anticipated due to:
	<ul> <li>the topography of the site;</li> <li>the maintenance of the timbered ridge line to the rear of the site;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>the adoption of the 1080 Reduced Level contour as the limit for the residential zone;</li> <li>no additional development is proposed for the E3 Zone area; and</li> </ul>

	- the location of Co visual catchment.	ouncil's existing water r	eservoirs above tl	ne development s	ite within the
Assessment Proce	SS				
Proposal type :	Routine	Commur Period :	ity Consultation	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegatio	on :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environment and Heritage NSW Rural Fire Service				
Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :	consultation with t	that this matter procee he Office of Environme nsidered appropriate.			
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : <b>No</b>				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional	studies, if required.				
If Other, provide reaso	ns:				
flora and fauna, slope Gateway determinatio trees identified under being retained as Zon	and geotechnical stu on or at development a SEPP 44 is located of e E4 Environmental L	ed necessary to suppor dies will be undertaken application stage. It is n n the site. These tress a iving and are not within e proposal on this vege	either to the supp oted that a small is re predominantly the development	ort the proposal solated stand of l located on the are footprint of the p	after a coala feed ea of the site
It is considered that a stage.	ll these matters can b	e adequately considere	d and addressed a	at the developmen	nt application
	nsultations, if required	:			
Identify any internal co					
	on required				
No internal consultation		ture relevant to this plan	? No		
No internal consultation		ture relevant to this plan	? No		
No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons :		ture relevant to this plan	? No		
No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : 			? No	me	Is Public
No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : 	nding of state infrastruc	nation.pdf			ls Public Yes Yes
Identify any internal con No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : cuments Document File Name Covering letter request Planning Proposal No 2015.pdf Council meeting 23 Ma Council meeting 23 Ma Council meeting 23 Ma	nding of state infrastruc ating Gateway determi 4. ADLEP 2012 - 23 M arch 2015 - Agenda Ita arch 2015 - Attachmen	nation.pdf Iarch em 6.1.pdf it 1.pdf	DocumentType Na Proposal Covering		Yes

### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries</li> <li>1.5 Rural Lands</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.3 Heritage Conservation</li> <li>2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates</li> <li>3.3 Home Occupations</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes</li> <li>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</li> </ul>		
Additional Information :	<ol> <li>The Planning Proposal be supported;</li> <li>The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 28 days;</li> <li>The Planning Proposal be completed within 9 months;</li> <li>Council is to consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage and the NSW Rural Fire Service;</li> <li>That the Secretary (or her delegate) agree that the inconsistency with section 117 Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones is of minor significance;</li> <li>That the Secretary (or her delegate) note the current inconsistency with section 117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and that it is anticipated that this inconsistency will need to be resolved prior to the proposal being finalised;</li> <li>That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council; and</li> <li>That a revised project time line (that incorporates the additional delegated proposal tasks) be included in the Planning Proposal prior to public exhibition.</li> </ol>		
Supporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal is supported as it is considered that it will help provide improved housing choice while not undermining the broader residential growth strategy for Armidale.		
Signature:	Di		
Printed Name:	Cracy Diss Date: 9(4/15		